

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Group Art Unit: 2613

Examiner:

David J. Lee

Applicants:

Jakobik et al

Reply Brief

Serial No.:

10/004,097

Filed:

October 31, 2001

Title:

Architectural Arrangement for Core

**Optical Networks** 

**REPLY BRIEF ON BEHALF OF APPELLANTS** 

This is a reply to the Examiner's answer dated May 19, 2006 regarding the pending appeal of the present application.

## Arguments

Applicant's invention is directed generally to an architectural arrangement that enables optical switching at different optical layers within an optical transport network. To enable switching, signal impairment compensation is performed at <u>each</u> layer of the network. In addition, compensation must be applied to <u>each</u> optical signal within a given layer to achieve the signal conditioning needed to route optical signals from different layers over long distances.

Of particular note, the Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to perform dispersion compensation on the signals exiting MUXs 144-2 and 144-4 in the same way that Nakamoto performs compensation on the signals exiting MUXs 144-3, 144-6, 144-7 and 144-5. However, to maintain polarization conditions of adjacent channels cross orthogonal to each other, these signals cannot undergo any signal conditioning in accordance with the teachings of Nakamoto. Basis for this assertion is found throughout the reference, including col. 20, line 1-3 and col. 21, lines 64-67. Therefore, Applicant believes the Examiner's assertion to be incorrect. Rather, Nakamoto fails to teach or suggest performing signal impairment compensation on each of the optical signals within a given layer as recited in Applicant's claimed invention. Absent this teaching, Applicant believes the pending claims define patentable subject matter over the relied upon combination of references.

Applicant continues to maintain the arguments set forth in previous correspondence with the Examiner. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request the Board to reconsider and withdraw the current rejections.

For the foregoing reasons, the appealed claims are patentably distinguishable over the art relied upon by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant's representative respectfully requests that this Board reverse the final rejection of Claims 1-7, 9-31 and 33-36.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy D. MacIntyre Registration No. 42,824

Dated: July 19, 2006

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.O. Box 828 Troy, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

TDM/drl

TENT & TH

Signature

07-20-06

PTO/SB/21 (04-04

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

E/	·	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TRANSMITTAL			Application Number	10/004,097		
11771		L	Filing Date	October 3	October 31, 2001	
FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named Inventor	Jakobik e	Jakobik et al	
			Art Unit	2613	2613	
	/		Examiner Name	David J. l	Lee	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	2676-000	0008	
		ENCLOS	SURES (check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal F	Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached	]	Licensing	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	etary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other (please	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		1_	Request for Refund		eturn Postcard	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Num	CD, Number of CD(s)			
Certified Copy of Priority		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any addition fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Depos			orized to charge any additional	
Document(s)  Response to Missing Parts/		Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.				
Incomplete Application  Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
1.02 0	SIGNA	TURF OF AL	PPLICANT, ATTORNEY, (	OP AGENT		
Firm or Harness, Dickey & Pierc			Attorney Name	Re	eg. No. 2824	
Individual name						
Signature						
Date	July 19, 2006		·			
	С	ERTIFICATE	E OF TRANSMISSION/MA	ILING		
I hereby certify that the Service with sufficient Alexandria, VA 22313	nt postage as first o	class mail in a	mile transmitted to the USPTC an envelope addressed to: 0	or deposited Commissioner	I with the United States Posta for Patents, P.O. Box 1450	
Typed or printed name			)	Express Mail Label No.	EV 855 009 505 US (7/19/2006)	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Date

July 19, 2006